UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,734	02/26/2002	David Needham	14514-00007-US	3807
	7590 04/10/200 OVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
1875 EYE STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

10/083,734   NEEDHAM, DAVID		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner   Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D.   1612	Interview Summary	10/083,734	NEEDHAM, DAV	/ID				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) **Gollamudi S.** Kishore, Ph.D.**  (2) **Brwant L.** Young.**  Date of Interview: **O9 **April 2008.**  Type: a)**\sqrt{2008}.**  Type: a)**\sqrt{2018} Telephonic b)**\sqrt{2008}.**  Type: a)**\sqrt{2018} Telephonic b)**\sqrt{2008}.**  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)**\sqrt{201} applicant c)**\sqrt{2018} applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)**\sqrt{2018} applicant conducted: d)**\sqrt{2018} applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)**\sqrt{2018} applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or	microrew dammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
(1) Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D.  (2) Bryant L. Young.  Date of Interview: 99 April 2008.  Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal (copy given to: 1) □ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes If Yes, brief description: □ 2) □ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes If Yes, brief description: □ 2) □ No.  Claim(s) discussed: Claims on record.  Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record.  Agreement with respect to the claims t) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pending claims and the rejection of the claims over Hristova and Ogawa were discussed. Applicant might file a supplemental amendment.  Aft fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS RROM THIS INTERVIEW WAS MARKED WAS ARROM THIS SINTERVIEW WAS MARKED WAS ARROM THIS SINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  //Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  JUST Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner's signature, if required		Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D	1612					
Date of Interview: 09 April 2008  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
Date of Interview: 09 April 2008.  Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □ No.  If Yes, brief description: □  Claim(s) discussed: Claims on record.  Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pending claims and the rejection of the claims over Hristova and Ogawa were discussed. Applicant might file a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.    Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D/Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612	(1) <u>Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D</u> .							
Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference c)  Personal (copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	(2) <u>Bryant L. Young</u> .	(4)						
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes If Yes, brief description: □  Claim(s) discussed: Claims on record.  Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) □ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pending claims and the rejection of the claims over Hristova and Ogawa were discussed. Applicant might file a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  //Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Altachment to a signed Office action.  JE Seamler of Section 16162  Examiner's signature, if required  Altachment to a signed Office action.	Date of Interview: 09 April 2008.							
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: Claims on record.  Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pending claims and the rejection of the claims over Hristova and Ogawa were discussed. Applicant might file a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.     Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612		2)∏ applicant's representativ	e]					
Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pending claims and the rejection of the claims over Hristova and Ogawa were discussed. Applicant might file a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MULING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  //Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	·= ·=							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pending claims and the rejection of the claims over Hristova and Ogawa were discussed. Applicant might file a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.    Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612	Claim(s) discussed: <u>Claims on record</u> .							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pending claims and the rejection of the claims over Hristova and Ogawa were discussed. Applicant might file a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  //Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Als machiner to a signed Office action.	Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Prior art on record</u> .							
reached, or any other comments: The pending claims and the rejection of the claims over Hristova and Ogawa were discussed. Applicant might file a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.    A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.    A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.    A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	N/A.					
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  //Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D/	reached, or any other comments: The pending claims and the rejection of the claims over Hristova and Ogawa were discussed. Applicant might file a supplemental amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS							
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner's signature, if required  Examiner's signature, if required	FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview							
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner's signature, if required  Examiner's signature, if required								
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner's signature, if required  Examiner's signature, if required								
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner's signature, if required  Examiner's signature, if required								
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner's signature, if required  Examiner's signature, if required								
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612  Examiner's signature, if required  Examiner's signature, if required								
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	v Summary	Paper	No. 20080409				